

TESTPASSPORT

練習問題



高品質な学習リソース

<https://www.testpassport.jp>

1年間無料アップデート

Exam : C-KPIP

**Title : Certified KPI Professional
Exam**

Version : DEMO

1. How often should KPIs be reported on?

- A. Live
- B. Monthly
- C. Depends on the nature of the report
- D. Quarterly

Answer: C

Explanation:

KPI reporting frequency should match how quickly the metric changes, how quickly the organization can act, and the decision cadence it supports—so it depends on the nature of the report. Operational KPIs (e.g., system uptime, backlog, response time) may need daily or even near-real-time reporting to enable timely interventions. Tactical KPIs (e.g., weekly productivity, pipeline movement) often align to weekly management routines. Strategic outcome KPIs (e.g., employee engagement, brand perception) may be more stable and are typically collected and reported less frequently. Reporting too often can create noise and overreaction; reporting too infrequently can delay corrective action. Activation best practice is to define the frequency in KPI documentation and ensure data pipelines and ownership support it. Another challenge is data latency: some KPIs rely on month-end close or survey cycles, making “live” reporting unrealistic. The goal is a frequency that is timely, reliable, and actionable—paired with clear review meetings where decisions are made based on the KPI results.

2. As part of the Value Flow Analysis, Process KPIs reflect:

- A. Transformation characteristics
- B. All the answers
- C. The impact of outputs generated
- D. Resource allocation

Answer: A

Explanation:

Process KPIs are intended to measure the transformation and flow of work—how efficiently and reliably inputs are converted into outputs. That is why “transformation characteristics” is the best fit. Examples include lead time, cycle time, first-pass yield, rework rate, utilization, and productivity measures that describe how the process operates. “Resource allocation” is typically associated with inputs (people, budget, capacity), while “the impact of outputs generated” aligns more with outcomes (customer satisfaction, retention, safety results). Mixing these concepts blurs the cause-and-effect structure that Value Flow Analysis is designed to enforce. A key measurement challenge is selecting process KPIs that teams can control and that truly predict downstream results; overly abstract or cross-functional measures can create disputes and lack of ownership. Effective KPI systems map a clear chain: input KPIs explain resourcing, process KPIs explain operational performance, output KPIs explain delivery volume/quality, and outcome KPIs explain value realized. Keeping process KPIs focused on transformation makes dashboards more actionable and reduces tunnel behavior.

3. Which of the following KPIs is the most suitable to select for measuring the following objective: “Increase profitability”?

- A. Net profit (\$)
- B. Revenue (\$)
- C. Annual budget (\$)

D. Cost (\$)

Answer: A

Explanation:

Profitability is fundamentally about the surplus after costs, so net profit (\$) is the most direct KPI to measure the objective “Increase profitability.” Revenue alone can grow while profitability falls if costs rise faster. Cost alone can decrease while profitability still worsens if revenue drops sharply. Annual budget is a planning figure, not an outcome measure of profitability. In organizational scorecards, net profit is a lagging KPI that summarizes business performance and supports investor/board reporting. However, because it is lagging, it should be supported by driver KPIs at departmental and team levels—such as gross margin, cost per unit, pricing realization, churn, conversion rate, and operational efficiency—so teams can act before quarter-end results are locked in. A common measurement challenge is attribution: profitability changes can be driven by mix shifts, accounting treatments, or one-time items. Good KPI documentation should specify whether net profit is operating profit, EBITDA, or after-tax profit, and whether exceptional items are excluded for performance management comparability.

4.Fill in the blank word: Tunnel behavior means looking after the achievement of own targets, _____ consideration of the implications for other areas in the organization.

A. In

B. For

C. With

D. Without

Answer: D

Explanation:

Tunnel behavior refers to optimizing one’s own targets without considering impacts on other parts of the organization. It is a common risk when KPIs are narrowly defined, overly incentivized, or not balanced across outcomes and drivers.

For example, a team measured only on speed might cut corners that increase errors for another team downstream, shifting workload rather than improving end-to-end performance. Addressing tunnel behavior is a core KPI measurement challenge: it requires selecting a balanced set of KPIs (efficiency + quality + customer outcomes), aligning goals across functions, and designing incentives carefully. Governance practices also help: cross-functional KPI reviews, shared outcome KPIs, and clear escalation when local optimization harms system performance. In KPI activation, documentation should include the purpose and potential unintended behaviors, plus recommended balancing KPIs. Leaders should reinforce that KPIs are tools for improving overall value delivery—not just hitting local numbers. Recognizing and preventing tunnel behavior is essential for sustainable performance improvement and for maintaining trust in KPI systems.

5.Which start target would you propose for “Fatalities (#)”, tracked at organizational level?

A. 0

B. This is not a KPI

C. 5

D. 3

Answer: A

Explanation:

“Fatalities (#)” is a valid safety KPI (a lagging outcome measure) and, at an organizational level, the appropriate start target is 0. In health and safety management, fatalities are treated as a zero-tolerance outcome because any fatality is unacceptable, regardless of historical performance. Setting a non-zero target (3 or 5) would normalize severe harm and weaken safety culture. While this KPI is valid, it should not be used alone; fatalities are (fortunately) rare in many organizations, so the metric can be statistically sparse and not sensitive to incremental safety improvements. Good practice is to pair it with leading indicators that prevent serious incidents (e.g., high-potential near miss reporting, critical risk control verification, corrective action closure rate) and other lagging indicators (LTIFR, TRIFR). Measurement challenges include consistent classification and investigation rigor; activation requires clear incident definitions, reporting processes, and auditability. The “start target” reinforces the ethical and operational expectation that the organization’s safety systems aim for zero fatal harm.