

TESTPASSPORT

練習問題



高品質な学習リソース

<https://www.testpassport.jp>

1年間無料アップデート

Exam : CPCU-500

**Title : Becoming a Leader in Risk
Management and Insurance**

Version : DEMO

1.The Growers Insurance Company has begun a SWOT analysis because it has failed to meet its loss ratio goals for three consecutive years. Growers has various strategies in place that have proven successful in the past.

Which of the following would be considered a strength that Growers might be able to capitalize on to address its problem?

- A. Competition is continuing to drive insurance rates down.
- B. Growers' underwriting staff is very experienced.
- C. Growers' surplus is adequate for the present.
- D. Various markets have been identified for growth.

Answer: B

Explanation:

In CPCU 500, SWOT is used as a strategic decision-making tool to clarify what an organization can control versus what it must respond to. The key rule is that Strengths and Weaknesses are internal (resources, capabilities, culture, processes), while Opportunities and Threats are external (market conditions, competitors, regulation, economic forces). Because Growers is trying to correct an unfavorable loss ratio, the best “strength” should be an internal capability that can directly improve underwriting performance.

Option B fits this definition. An experienced underwriting staff is an internal, controllable capability that can be leveraged to improve results through better risk selection, stronger pricing judgment, tighter terms and conditions, improved portfolio management, and more effective corrective action (for example, identifying segments driving loss experience and applying targeted underwriting changes). These actions are directly connected to managing frequency/severity and restoring underwriting profitability.

By contrast, option A is an external market force driven by competitors and is typically a threat because it pressures pricing downward.

Option D describes a potential external opportunity (growth markets) rather than an internal strength.

Option C is internal, but “adequate surplus” is more of a financial condition than a distinctive capability—and it does not directly address the underwriting drivers causing loss ratio deterioration as strongly as underwriting expertise does.

2.Thomas is the commercial lines underwriter for Shelton Manufacturing. Critical thinking helped him suggest that the insured consider a blanket business personal property limit for its three locations. This critical thinking will help Thomas to

- A. Avoid an errors and omissions lawsuit.
- B. Cement his relationship as a risk management partner.
- C. Collect additional premium.
- D. Widen the insurer's reach.

Answer: B

Explanation:

In CPCU 500, critical thinking is emphasized as a leadership skill that improves the quality of decisions and strengthens business relationships by focusing on the client's objectives, anticipating implications, and recommending solutions that fit the risk. Thomas's suggestion of a blanket business personal property limit reflects value-added analysis: instead of treating each location in isolation, he is considering how coverage design can better match Shelton Manufacturing's exposure pattern across multiple sites.

A blanket limit can reduce the chance of being underinsured at a single location when property values shift over time, inventory moves, or one site temporarily holds more business personal property than expected. By identifying this practical coverage structure and proactively advising the insured, Thomas demonstrates sound judgment, an understanding of how losses occur, and an ability to translate risk concepts into an actionable insurance solution. That behavior aligns with CPCU 500's view of leadership as influencing outcomes through better thinking and better recommendations, not simply processing transactions.

The primary benefit is not to avoid litigation or to chase premium. While premium or risk control benefits may occur, CPCU 500 frames the most meaningful outcome of strong critical thinking as building trust and credibility. By helping the insured align coverage with real operational risk, Thomas positions himself as a collaborative, problem-solving advisor—strengthening his role as a long-term risk management partner.

3. An individual who purchases an apartment building to rent to tenants faces both pure risk and speculative risk.

Which one of the following is a pure risk?

- A. The building may be damaged by a fire.
- B. The rental income may not cover expenses.
- C. The market value of the building may change.
- D. The interest rate on the mortgage may increase.

Answer: A

Explanation:

CPCU 500 distinguishes pure risk from speculative risk to clarify which uncertainties are generally insurable. A pure risk involves the possibility of loss or no loss, with no opportunity for gain. In contrast, a speculative risk includes the possibility of loss, no loss, or gain and is commonly tied to financial or market outcomes.

Option A describes property damage from fire, which is a classic pure risk exposure. A fire can cause a loss, or it may not occur at all, but it cannot create a profit. Because the outcome is limited to loss or no loss and can be evaluated using loss frequency and severity concepts, it fits the type of exposure that insurers are designed to pool and finance through property coverage.

The other options describe speculative risks. If rental income does not cover expenses, that reflects business performance and operational results that can vary with occupancy, competition, and management decisions. Changes in market value are driven by broader economic and real estate market forces and can move up or down, creating gain or loss. Mortgage interest rate increases are also market-driven financial uncertainty that may raise costs, but rates could also stay the same or decrease depending on loan terms and economic conditions. These uncertainties involve potential upside or are fundamentally financial market risks, so they are not categorized as pure risk in CPCU 500.

4. Bobbie works for Triple Hills Associates and is gathering current information to consider the application of a new account. She asks Reggie, a junior underwriter, to gather as much information as he can from public sources about the account to help in her analysis, but to be careful of bias and credibility issues. Which one of the following situations might Reggie avoid reporting to Bobbie due to the informational hazards she mentioned?

- A. Reggie discovered offices from the account's website that are located in an area known for flooding.

- B. An online map search of the headquarters revealed they are located in a large corporate building with a number of other companies.
- C. Reggie discovered a police report involving one of the account's truck drivers indicating he did not have a proper CDL license.
- D. A former employee posted negative comments about management on a public website.

Answer: D

Explanation:

CPCU 500 stresses that strong critical thinkers evaluate information quality before using it in decisions. When gathering public-source information, "informational hazards" commonly include bias, lack of verification, missing context, and questionable credibility. The goal is not to ignore all negative information, but to recognize which inputs are most likely to be unreliable or misleading and therefore require careful validation before they influence underwriting judgment.

Option D is the best example of a source that presents clear credibility and bias concerns. A former employee's negative online comments may reflect a personal grievance, selective experiences, or incomplete context. The identity of the poster may be unknown, details may be exaggerated, and claims may not be supported by verifiable facts. CPCU 500 encourages avoiding unsubstantiated or emotionally charged inputs that can distort analysis, or at minimum treating them as preliminary "leads" rather than decision-grade evidence.

In contrast, options A and B are generally observable and verifiable (company locations and mapping information), and option C references an official record, which typically carries higher credibility and can be confirmed through appropriate channels. Therefore, the item most likely to be avoided or heavily discounted due to bias and credibility issues is the unverified, potentially biased commentary from a former employee.

5. Sally recently went to a local nursery to purchase some plants for her yard. She was injured when she tripped over a piece of equipment that a salesperson had left in the aisle after demonstrating it for a customer. From the standpoint of the nursery, this is an example of which one of the following types of liability loss exposure?

- A. Premises and operations
- B. Completed operations
- C. Products
- D. Employers liability

Answer: A

Explanation:

CPCU 500 explains liability loss exposures by focusing on when and where the injury occurs and what activity caused it. Premises and operations liability arises from conditions on the insured's premises or from the insured's ongoing business operations. The key idea is that the alleged negligence is tied to what the business is doing right now, such as maintaining safe walkways, conducting demonstrations, moving inventory, or interacting with customers.

In this scenario, the customer is injured on the nursery's premises after tripping over equipment left in an aisle. The hazard is a temporary unsafe condition created during normal business activity, and the injury occurs while the nursery is open and operating. That is the classic pattern of premises and operations exposure: a third party bodily injury claim arising from unsafe premises conditions or ongoing operations. The other options do not fit CPCU 500's definitions. Completed operations involves injury or damage that

occurs after the business has finished its work away from the premises or after the work has been completed, such as a contractor's faulty installation causing injury later. Products liability involves injury or damage caused by a product after it has been sold or distributed, typically away from the seller's premises. Employers liability relates to employee injury claims connected to employment, which is not the case here because the injured person is a customer, not an employee.